## **Generic Evaluation Form for Non-Medicine Products** (Non-MU FORM EVAL1)

The GGC Non-Medicines Utilisation Subcommittee of ADTC (Non-MU) acknowledges that good quality published evidence for non-medicine products can be lacking. Clinical non-MU sub-groups may wish to support product evaluations in order to assess products and produce local data to support changes to GGC non-drug formularies.

This form is intended to be a template for submission to Non-MU in combination with any formulary change request submissions. This form could also be used for data collection at patient level although clinical non-MU sub-groups can develop evaluation forms if more appropriate.

Evaluation methodology (including data collection forms, clinician involvement, evaluation locations, number of patients etc.) is the responsibility of the clinical non-MU sub-group supporting the evaluation. A summary of evaluation results using the parameters below should be submitted to Non-MU for review in combination with any formulary change request submissions.

Auc	lit name		Data Collector Date		Patient consented: Form completion date				
								Patient identifier	
		a a waynd had aan	dition						
1	What are the indications for use?	type of incontinence,							
	Formulary product(a)	perceived new benefit of product, proposed patient group etc.							
2	Formulary product(s) currently used for this indication?	□ None available □ Formulary product(s):							
	General Product evaluation	Is this product was a	appropriate for this patient/ patient g	roup?	□ Yes	☐ No, details:			
		If not, is there a patient group/clinical situation which would be more suitable?							
3		ii iiot, io tiioro a pati	group, aminour artuation minor me		□ Very α	good   Acceptable	☐ Poor, de	taile:	
		What did you think o	of overall quality of product?	'	i very g	good in Acceptable	□ 1001, ue	idiio.	
4	Patient safety/ effectiveness	Since commencing this product have there been any adverse effects or   No  Yes, details:							
		unexpected outcom	es?						
		Would you recommend any restrictions, e.g. particular patient group?				☐ Yes, restricte	ed to:		
		Should it be restricted	ed to specialist use only?	I	□ No	☐ Yes, restricte	ed to:		
5	What was the perceived advantage of the audited product over formulary product at end of evaluation?	☐ Noi	ne ☐ Prevented d	eterioration	☐ Progress management ☐ Reduced need to re-apply product		☐ Improved pati	ent acceptability/ quality of life	
		that apply :   Alle	ow patient to ☐ Reduced clir manage carry out pro				☐ Other, details	:	
		Proposed position of product within Formulary?		line I	□ Preferred List		☐ Total Formulary		
7	Detient Feedback	Comments by patier							
	Patient Feedback	incl any particular pa support required.	atient education/						

## Generic Evaluation Form for Non-Medicine Products (Non-MU FORM EVAL1)

8	Environmental impact	Please give details of any environmental considerations, excess packaging, recyclability,	
9	Other relevant comments	e.g. specific education required for practitioner	